

Please be advised that I am opposed to the pending FCC proposals that will allow the media conglomerates to have even more control over the industry.

The stated benefit to the media companies is "economies of scale" to provide better broadcast productions. The part about economies of scale is probably true, however the consequence of increased profits to them is that the public will be limited to so few alternatives to news and objective reporting. Cheaper is not better!

How easy it would be for a major company to be prejudiced in their broadcasts as a result of political pressures, with no other broadcast agency in place to check and balance the news! I think the major broadcast agencies have done enough damage in the way they have dumbed down the American version of news and entertainment. If there are even fewer alternatives for free thinking, intelligent people to try to understand the world we live in, then perhaps our leaders will have an easier time of doing business without accountability. This could be the only reason a public official would endorse the new media rules. There is no benefit to the public if you endorse the proposed FCC rule changes to allow deregulation. Please uphold the office which you have been entrusted to protect with the best interest of the public and oppose any rule changes for a diverse, balanced, competitive, and fair media.

Eileen Poulos  
St. Petersburg, FL 33703